

DIAMETERS OF COMPLETE SETS OF CONJUGATE ALGEBRAIC INTEGERS OF SMALL DEGREE

MICHEL GRANDCOLAS

ABSTRACT. We give bounds for the coefficients of a polynomial as functions of the diameter of its roots, hence we obtain polynomials with minimal diameters and small degree

1. INTRODUCTION

Let P be a monic irreducible polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . The set of the roots of P in \mathbb{C} is called a “complete set of conjugate algebraic integers”. For example, each point of \mathbb{Z} constitutes such a set. Later, we will ignore this trivial case and we will not distinguish between two complete sets of conjugate algebraic numbers if we can deduce one from the other by an integral translation (i.e. $z \rightarrow z + h$ with h integer) or by a symmetry with respect to the origin (i.e. $z \rightarrow -z$).

We know [1] that the diameter of such a set, which we also call the diameter of P , is at least $\sqrt{3}$ and that, for each real number $c < 2$, the number of sets whose diameter is at most c is finite [2]. These references solve problems studied by Favard [3] in 1920. Robinson [4] found real sets with diameters smaller than 4 and a cardinality smaller than 8, and has given a list for 7 and 8, without proving its completeness. Next, at the beginning of 1980, C. W. Lloyd-Smith [5] found these sets with diameters < 2 and a cardinality ≤ 5 .

The aim of this paper is to generalize these results up to degree 10, by an efficient algorithm. The bounds for the coefficients will be easy to compute for all degrees from the barycenter of the roots and the center of a hexagon containing the roots. Furthermore they will be nearly optimal.

Plan of the proof: In section 3 we deduce an upper bound for the value of $|P(z)|$ from a geometric argument given in [1]. In section 4, using Parseval’s identity and Cauchy’s formula we give upper bounds for the coefficients of P . In section 5 we give nearly optimal bounds in particular cases obtained with Newton’s formulae. In section 6 we describe the algorithm we use. It is based on the Gauss-Lucas theorem and formulae of sections 4 and 5. In section 2 we give the list of all polynomials which are monic, irreducible of degree inferior to 10 and which have a diameter inferior to 2 (or which have the smallest diameters).

I am indebted to M. Langevin for suggesting the study of this problem and to G. Rhin for interesting discussions.

Received by the editor March 10, 1996 and, in revised form, October 25, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11Y40, 11R09.

Key words and phrases. Polynomial, diameter, conjugate algebraic integers.

2. RESULTS

2.1. Main Results. The polynomials $P_d(z)$ represent monic irreducible polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , of degree d and the coefficient of z^{d-1} is an integer between $\lceil \frac{-d}{2} \rceil$ (where $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to x) and 0, the polynomials $P_d(z)$ of minimal diameters are the following:

$$d = 2 : z^2 - z + 1, \text{diam} = \sqrt{3}, \text{disc} = -3$$

$$d = 3 : z^3 - z^2 + 1, \text{diam} = 1.79423415 \dots, \text{disc} = -23$$

$$d = 4 : z^4 - 2z^3 + 2z^2 - z + 1, \text{diam} = 1.89882892 \dots, \text{disc} = 117$$

$$d = 5 : z^5 - 2z^4 + z^3 + z^2 - z - 1, \text{diam} = 1.99179625 \dots, \text{disc} = 3089$$

$$d = 6 : z^6 - z^5 + z^4 - z^3 + z^2 - z + 1, \text{diam} = 1.94985582 \dots, \text{disc} = -16807$$

$$d = 7 : z^7 - 2z^6 + 2z^5 - 2z^4 + z^3 + 1, \text{diam} = 1.97030662 \dots, \text{disc} = -438599$$

$$d = 8 : z^8 - z^7 + z^5 - z^4 + z^3 - z + 1, \text{diam} = 1.98904379 \dots, \text{disc} = 1265625$$

$$d = 9 : z^9 - 3z^8 + 4z^7 - 3z^6 + z^5 + 1, \text{diam} = 2.00758717 \dots, \text{disc} = 327060313$$

$$d = 10 : z^{10} - z^9 + z^8 - z^7 + z^6 - z^5 + z^4 - z^3 + z^2 - z + 1, \text{diam} = 1.97964288 \dots$$

$$\text{disc} = -2357947691$$

where *diam* denotes the diameter of the set of roots of the polynomial P_d and *disc* its discriminant.

Remarks. 1) $d = 9$ is the only case with *diam* > 2 . We can conjecture that the only polynomials of diameter less than 2 are cyclotomic for $d \geq 9$. The polynomials of minimal diameter are precisely those which have the smaller discriminants for $d = 2, 3, 4$. This method can give small discriminants.

2) for $d = 10$ the search is not exhaustive.

2.2. Other Results. We give the list of polynomials of diameter < 2 from degree 2 to degree 10, if the barycenter is in the interval $[0, 1/2]$:

degree 2:

$$z^2 - z + 1, \text{diam} = \sqrt{3}$$

degree 3:

$$z^3 - z^2 + 1, \text{diam} = 1.794234155701$$

$$z^3 - z^2 - 1, \text{diam} = 1.874181059385$$

degree 4:

$$z^4 - 2z^3 + 2z^2 - z + 1, \text{diam} = 1.898828922115$$

$$z^4 - z^3 + z^2 - z + 1, \text{diam} = 1.902113032590$$

$$z^4 - z^3 + 1, \text{diam} = 1.993919104324$$

$$z^4 - z + 1, \text{diam} = 1.993919104324$$

degree 5:

$$z^5 - 2z^4 + z^3 + z^2 - z - 1, \text{diam} = 1.991796253434$$

$z^5 - z^4 + 1, diam = 1.997854099950$
 degree 6:
 $z^6 - z^3 + 1, diam = 1.969615506024$
 $z^6 - z^5 + z^4 - z^3 + z^2 - z + 1, diam = 1.949855824363$
 $z^6 - 3z^5 + 4z^4 - 2z^3 + 1, diam = 1.997926386121$
 degree 7:
 $z^7 - 2z^6 + 2z^5 - 2z^4 + z^3 + 1, diam = 1.970306627313$
 $z^7 - 2z^6 + 2z^5 - z^4 - 1, diam = 1.998308105887$
 degree 8:
 $z^8 - 4z^7 + 7z^6 - 6z^5 + 2z^4 + z^3 - z^2 + 1, diam = 1.989263045606$
 $z^8 - z^7 + z^5 - z^4 + z^3 - z + 1, diam = 1.989043790736$
 degree 9:
 none
 degree 10:
 $z^{10} - z^9 + z^8 - z^7 + z^6 - z^5 + z^4 - z^3 + z^2 - z + 1, diam = 1.979642883761$

3. THE GEOMETRICAL LEMMAS

3.1. Lemma 1. *Let X be a subset of diameter δ symmetric with respect to the real axis. There exists a regular hexagon which contains X and such that the opposite sides are parallel and the distance between these sides is δ .*

Proof. According to the argument used in [1], each hexagon formed by the intersection of 3 strips of width δ satisfies the conditions of the lemma, the first strip being parallel and symmetric with respect to the real axis, the second of the same width making an angle of $\frac{\pi}{3}$ with the real axis, the third being the reflection of the second in the real axis. In particular, X is contained in a disc of radius $\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{3}}$. \square

3.2. Corollary. *If the diameter of the roots of P is δ and their barycenter is 0 , then the real parts of these roots lie in the segment $[-\alpha + h, \alpha + h]$ with $\alpha = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $0 \leq h \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{3}}$.*

Proof. By a translation, we can put the barycenter of the roots at the origin. Thanks to a symmetry with respect to the origin, it is always possible to have the center h of the regular hexagon of Lemma 1 in the segment $[0, \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{3}}]$. \square

3.3. Lemma 2. *Let M_i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) be d points of the complex plane and G their barycenter. Then, for any point M ,*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^d MM_i^2)}{d} \leq MG^2 + \frac{\delta^2}{3}.$$

Proof. From the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^d MM_i^2 = d \times MG^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d GM_i^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^d JM_i^2 = d \times JG^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d GM_i^2$$

(where J is the center of the regular hexagon described in Lemma 1), we deduce the result by noticing that $JM_i^2 \leq \frac{\delta^2}{3}$. \square

3.4. Corollary. *Let P be a polynomial with real coefficients, of degree d , where the coefficient of z^d (resp. z^{d-1}) is a_d (resp. $-a_d g$, where g is the barycenter of the roots of P). Then, for all complex numbers z ,*

$$(3.2) \quad |P(z)|^{\frac{2}{d}} \leq |a_d|^{\frac{2}{d}} \left(\frac{\delta^2}{3} + |z - g|^2 \right).$$

Proof. Apply the inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means to (3.1). \square

3.5. Lemma 3. *Let $\alpha > 0$, h be two reals and d an integer greater than or equal to 2. For x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d , d real, such that*

$$-\alpha + h \leq x_i \leq \alpha + h, \quad \sum_{i=1}^d x_i = 0,$$

we define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(h) &= \sum_{i=1, x_i > 0}^d x_i = -\sum_{i=1, x_i < 0}^d x_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|, \\ \sigma_2(h) &= \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2, \quad \sigma_3(h) = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^3, \quad \sigma_4(h) = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^4. \end{aligned}$$

We have:

1) If either $\sigma_1(h)$, $\sigma_2(h)$ or $\sigma_4(h)$ take a maximal value, then we can suppose that, with at most one exception, the values of x_i are all equal to $-\alpha + h$ or $\alpha + h$.

2) If $\sigma_3(h)$ is positive and maximal, then we can have exactly two possibilities: (i) with at most one exception, the values of x_i are all equal to $-\alpha + h$ or $\alpha + h$, or else (ii) several of the x_i are negative and equal and the remaining x_i are equal to $\alpha + h$.

3) If $\sigma_3(h)$ is negative and has a maximal absolute value, then we can have exactly two possibilities: (i) with at most one exception, the values of x_i are all equal to $-\alpha + h$ or $\alpha + h$, or else (ii) several of the x_i are positive and equal and the remaining x_i are equal to $-\alpha + h$.

Remark. this lemma allows us to transform the search for maximal bounds of all the sets of conjugate algebraic integers to a finite number of them. In section 5, we apply this lemma to α and h found in Lemma 1 of section 3.1 and corollary of section 3.2. The barycenter of the roots x_i is at the origin and clearly in $[-\alpha + h, \alpha + h]$.

Proof. 1) case of $\sigma_1(h)$:

(a) Suppose that we have two indices $i \neq j$ such that $-\alpha + h < x_i \leq 0 \leq x_j < \alpha + h$ and $\sigma_1(h)$ has a local maximum. Then we have a real $t > 0$ such that:

$-\alpha + h < x_i - t < 0 < x_j + t < \alpha + h$ and: $|x_j + t| + |x_i - t| > |x_j| + |x_i|$ which gives a contradiction.

(b) Suppose that we have two indices $i \neq j$ such that $0 \leq x_i \leq x_j < \alpha + h$ and $\sigma_1(h)$ has a local maximum. Then we can choose a real $t > 0$ such that: $x_j + t = \alpha + h$;

if $x_i - t \geq 0$, then $|x_j + t| + |x_i - t| = |x_j| + |x_i|$ hence we can suppose one of the roots is equal to $\alpha + h$.

if $x_i - t < 0$, then $|x_j + t| + |x_i - t| > |x_j| + |x_i|$ which gives a contradiction.

(c) Suppose that we have two indices $i \neq j$ such that $-\alpha + h < x_i < x_j < 0$ and $\sigma_1(h)$ has a local maximum. Then we can choose a real $t > 0$ such that: $x_i - t = -\alpha + h$;

if $x_j + t \leq 0$, then $|x_j + t| + |x_i - t| = |x_j| + |x_i|$ hence we can suppose one of the roots is equal to $-\alpha + h$.

if $x_j + t > 0$, then $|x_j + t| + |x_i - t| > |x_j| + |x_i|$ which gives a contradiction.

1) case of $\sigma_2(h)$:

Suppose that we have two indices $i \neq j$ such that $-\alpha + h < x_i \leq x_j < \alpha + h$ and $\sigma_2(h)$ has a local maximum. Then we have a real $t > 0$ such that:

$-\alpha + h \leq x_i - t < x_j + t \leq \alpha + h$ and: $(x_i - t)^2 + (x_j + t)^2 - x_i^2 - x_j^2 = 2(x_j - x_i)t + t^2 > 0$ which gives a contradiction.

1) case of $\sigma_4(h)$:

Suppose that we have two indices $i \neq j$ such that $-\alpha + h < x_i \leq x_j < \alpha + h$ and $\sigma_4(h)$ has a local maximum. Then we have a real $t > 0$ such that:

$(x_i - t)^4 + (x_j + t)^4 - x_i^4 - x_j^4 = 4(x_j^3 - x_i^3)t + 6(x_j^2 + x_i^2)t^2 + 4(x_j - x_i)t^3 + 2t^4 > 0$ which gives a contradiction.

2) Suppose that we have two indices $i \neq j$ such that $-\alpha + h < x_i \leq x_j < \alpha + h$ and $\sigma_3(h)$ has a local maximum in (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) . Since $(x_i + t)^3 + (x_j - t)^3 - x_i^3 - x_j^3 = 3(x_i + x_j)t(t + x_i - x_j)$ we have three cases:

(1) first case: $x_j \neq x_i, x_j \neq -x_i$.

a) $x_i + x_j > 0$: we replace x_i by $x_i + t$ and x_j by $x_j - t$ with $t < 0$ and we have a contradiction.

b) $x_i + x_j < 0$: we replace x_i by $x_i + t$ and x_j by $x_j - t$ with $t > 0$ and we have a contradiction.

(2) second case: $x_j = -x_i$.

We can put one of the roots in $-\alpha + h$ or in $\alpha + h$ without changing the maximum of $\sigma_3(h)$.

(3) third case: $x_j = x_i, x_j \neq -x_i$.

a) $x_i + x_j > 0$: $(x_i + t)^3 + (x_j - t)^3 - x_i^3 - x_j^3 > 0$ and we have a contradiction.

b) $x_i + x_j < 0$: we cannot have a value $x_k < x_i$ thanks to the first case. We can suppose there is no root $x_i < x_k < \alpha + h$ thanks to the first or second case. Hence the other roots are equal to $\alpha + h$ or x_i . Only in this case we obtain the possibility (ii).

3) The proof is similar to 2). □

4. INEQUALITIES USED IN THE ALGORITHM

Let $P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i z^i$ be a polynomial with real coefficients. By computing the mean value of $|P(z)|^2$ on a circle of radius $r > 0$ centered at the origin, we deduce from (3.2) and Parseval's identity that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{i=0}^d |a_i|^2 r^{2i} \leq |a_d|^2 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\delta^2}{3} + r^2 - 2gr \cos(2\pi t) + g^2 \right)^d dt$$

with $g = \frac{-a_{d-1}}{da_d}$.

We deduce also from (3.2) and from Cauchy's integral formula an upper bound for the values of the coefficients of P :

$$(4.2) \quad |a_i| \leq |a_d| \frac{\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\delta^2}{3} + r^2 - 2gr \cos(2\pi t) + g^2 \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} dt}{r^i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d - 1.$$

This yields for $g = 0$:

$$(4.3) \quad |a_i| \leq |a_d| \frac{(r^2 + \delta^2/3)^{d/2}}{r^i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d - 1.$$

We will look for numerical values of r minimizing these bounds.

We still assume that the polynomial P is monic, that is to say: $P(z) = z^d + b_1 z^{d-1} + \dots + b_k z^{d-k} + \dots + b_d$. We will suppose also that it is of diameter < 2

for the computation of minimal diameters and that the barycenter of its roots is in the interval $[0,1/2]$ (this assumption is not restrictive because we can replace $P(z)$ by $P(\pm z + h), h \in \mathbb{Z}$). This limits the possible values of b_1 .

We reduce the computations significantly by applying the above bounds successively to the derivatives of P . This is possible because the sets of corresponding roots of these derivations lie in the same hexagon as we have seen earlier, by the theorem of Gauss-Lucas. These sets also have the same barycenter g . Hence we replace the bounds of a quadratic form in n variables by a sequence of triangular quadratic forms. The preceding remark shows that we can consider a sequence of quadratic forms with the variables (b_1, \dots, b_k) for $1 \leq k \leq d$.

It can also be useful, when the degree increases, to compute with rational coefficients and put the barycenter of the roots at the origin. Indeed, if we consider $P(z) = z^d + b_1z^{d-1} + \dots + b_kz^{d-k} + \dots + b_d$, the coefficients of the polynomial $Q(x) = x^d + B_1x^{d-1} + \dots + B_kx^{d-k} + \dots + B_d$, obtained from P by a translation of the barycenter of the roots to the origin, satisfies the system of formulae:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_1 &= 0 \\
 B_2 &= \binom{d}{d-2} \left(\frac{-b_1}{d}\right)^2 + b_1 \binom{d-1}{d-2} \left(\frac{-b_1}{d}\right) + b_2 \\
 &\dots \\
 B_k &= \binom{d}{d-k} \left(\frac{-b_1}{d}\right)^k + b_1 \binom{d-1}{d-k} \left(\frac{-b_1}{d}\right)^{k-1} + \dots + b_j \binom{d-j}{d-k} \left(\frac{-b_1}{d}\right)^{k-j} + \dots + b_k \\
 &\dots \\
 B_d &= \left(\frac{-b_1}{d}\right)^d + b_1 \left(\frac{-b_1}{d}\right)^{d-1} + \dots + b_j \left(\frac{-b_1}{d}\right)^{k-j} + \dots + b_d
 \end{aligned}$$

The interest of replacing coefficient b_i with B_i is that the number of possible values for B_i is smaller because the bounds previously obtained are increasing functions of the barycenter.

5. BOUNDS WITH NEWTON'S FORMULAE

We suppose in this section that the barycenter of the roots z_i of P is at the origin, furthermore h : the center of the hexagon containing the roots is positive by Lemma 1 of section 3. We can find nearly optimal bounds for B_2, B_3, B_4 by using Newton's formulae and Lemma 3 of section 3. Indeed we apply the results of Lemma 3 to the real parts of the roots z_i which lie in the segment $[-\alpha + h, \alpha + h]$ by Corollary 3.2.

5.1. Lemma. *For a polynomial P , we introduce the following expressions, where $z_j = x_j + iy_j, 1 \leq j \leq d$, are its roots :*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_1(h) &= \sum_{j=1, x_j > 0}^d x_j, \\
 \sigma_2(h) &= \sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2, \sigma_3(h) = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j^3, \sigma_4(h) = \sum_{i=1}^d x_j^4.
 \end{aligned}$$

The bounds of $\sigma_1(h)$, $\sigma_2(h)$, $|\sigma_3(h)|$, $\sigma_4(h)$ are found thanks to Lemma 3 and given by:

1) For $\sigma_1(h)$, $\sigma_2(h)$, $\sigma_4(h)$ we are in the first case of Lemma 3, h, k satisfy the following conditions:

$$0 \leq \frac{[-2\alpha k + \alpha(d-2)]}{d} \leq h \leq \alpha - \frac{2\alpha k}{d},$$

k is the number of $x_i = \alpha + h$, $k \in [0, \frac{d-1}{2}]$ if d is odd, $k \in [0, \frac{d}{2} - 1]$ if d is even.

The condition on h is found thanks to the root which is not equal to $-\alpha + h$ or $\alpha + h$.

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \leq \sigma_1(h) \leq k(\alpha + h) + |(-d + 1)h - 2\alpha k + \alpha(d - 1)|,$$

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \leq \sigma_2(h) \leq k(\alpha + h)^2 + (d - 1 - k)(-\alpha + h)^2 + [(-d + 1)h - 2\alpha k + \alpha(d - 1)]^2,$$

$$(5.3) \quad 0 \leq \sigma_4(h) \leq k(\alpha + h)^4 + (d - 1 - k)(-\alpha + h)^4 + [(-d + 1)h - 2\alpha k + \alpha(d - 1)]^4.$$

2) For $|\sigma_3(h)|$, we have three bounds $B_k(h)$, $B_{k'}(h)$, $B_{k''}(h)$ which correspond respectively to the three cases of Lemma 3:

$$(5.4) \quad 0 \leq |\sigma_3(h)| \leq \max_{k, k', k''} \{B_k(h), B_{k'}(h), B_{k''}(h)\}$$

with:

a) $B_k(h) = |k(\alpha + h)^3 + (d - 1 - k)(-\alpha + h)^3 + [(-d + 1)h - 2\alpha k + \alpha(d - 1)]^3|$, h, k satisfy the following conditions:

$$0 \leq \frac{[-2\alpha k + \alpha(d-2)]}{d} \leq h \leq \alpha - \frac{2\alpha k}{d},$$

k is the number of $x_i = \alpha + h$, $k \in [0, \frac{d-1}{2}]$, if d is odd, $k \in [0, \frac{d}{2} - 1]$ if d is even.

b) $B_{k'}(h) = |k'(\alpha + h)^3 + [-k'(\alpha + h)]^3 / (d - k')^2|$.

h, k' satisfy the following conditions:

$0 \leq h \leq \alpha(1 - \frac{2k'}{d})$, k' is the number of $x_i = \alpha + h$, $d - k'$ is the number of negative roots which are equal, $k' \in [0, \frac{d-1}{2}]$ if d is odd, $k' \in [0, \frac{d}{2} - 1]$ if d is even.

c) $B_{k''}(h) = |k''(-\alpha + h)^3 + [k''(\alpha - h)]^3 / (d - k'')^2|$.

h, k'' satisfy the following conditions:

$\max(0, \alpha(\frac{2k''}{d} - 1)) \leq h \leq \alpha$, k'' is the number of $x_i = -\alpha + h$, $d - k''$ is the number of positive roots which are equal, $k'' \in [1, d - 1]$.

Remarks. 1) It would be possible to find bounds using the sign of $\sigma_3(h)$, but it is not useful.

2) We can observe that:

$$\max_h \max_{k, k', k''} \{B_k(h), B_{k'}(h), B_{k''}(h)\} = \max_h \max_k B_k(h).$$

5.2. Corollary 1. For each function $\sigma_i(h)$, one uses calculus to maximize the right member of each inequality as a function of h for fixed k and then maximizes over the various possibilities for k . We call $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$ these bounds. The maximum of $\sigma_i(h)$, ($2 \leq i \leq 4$), is obtained with an algorithm thanks to the derivatives below and the intervals obtained in section 5.1.

Derivatives:

We give here the derivatives to find $\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$ obtained with the PARI system.

1) derivative of f ,

$$f(h) = k(\alpha + h)^2 + (d - 1 - k)(-\alpha + h)^2 + [(-d + 1)h - 2\alpha k + \alpha(d - 1)]^2 :$$

$$f'(h) = (2d^2 - 2d)h - 2\alpha d^2 + 2d\alpha + 4d\alpha k.$$

2) derivative of g ,

$$g(h) = k(\alpha + h)^3 + (d - k - 1)(-\alpha + h)^3 + [(-d + 1)h - 2\alpha k + \alpha(d - 1)]^3 :$$

$$g'(h) = (-3d^3 + 9d^2 - 6d)h^2 + [(-12d^2 + 24d)\alpha k + (6d^3 - 18d^2 + 12d)\alpha]h \\ + (-12d + 12)\alpha^2 k^2 + (12d^2 - 24d + 12)\alpha^2 k + (-3d^3 + 9d^2 - 6d)\alpha^2.$$

3) derivative of l , $l(h) = k(\alpha + h)^3 + \frac{[-k(\alpha+h)]^3}{(d-k)^2}$:

$$l'(h) = \left[\frac{(-6dk^2 + 3d^2k)}{(k^2 - 2dk + d^2)} \right] h^2 + \left[\frac{(-12d\alpha k^2 + 6d^2\alpha k)}{(k^2 - 2dk + d^2)} \right] h + \left[\frac{(-6d\alpha^2 k^2 + 3d^2\alpha^2 k)}{(k^2 - 2dk + d^2)} \right].$$

4) derivative of m ,

$$m(h) = k(\alpha + h)^4 + (d - k - 1)(-\alpha + h)^4 + [(-d + 1)h - 2\alpha k + \alpha(d - 1)]^4 :$$

$$m'(h) = [4d^4 - 16d^3 + 24d^2 - 12d]h^3 \\ + [(24d^3 - 72d^2 + 72d)\alpha k + (-12d^4 + 48d^3 - 72d^2 + 36d)\alpha]h^2 \\ + [(48d^2 - 96d + 48)\alpha^2 k^2 + (-48d^3 + 144d^2 - 144d + 48)\alpha^2 k \\ + (12d^4 - 48d^3 + 72d^2 - 36d)\alpha^2]h \\ + [(32d - 32)\alpha^3 k^3 + (-48d^2 + 96d - 48)\alpha^3 k^2 \\ + (24d^3 - 72d^2 + 72d - 16)\alpha^3 k + (-4d^4 + 16d^3 - 24d^2 + 12d)\alpha^3].$$

5.3. Corollary 2. *Bounds of B_2, B_3, B_4 as functions of $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$:*

$$(5.5.a) \quad \frac{-\sigma_2}{2} \leq B_2 \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \right)^2,$$

$$(5.6.a) \quad |B_3| \leq \frac{\sigma_3}{3} + \sigma_1 \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \right)^2,$$

$$(5.7.a) \quad (-\sigma_4 - d \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \right)^2) / 4 \leq B_4 \leq \sigma_2 \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{8}.$$

Remark. $B_1 = 0$.

Proof. For (5.5.a) we use $S_2 = \sum_{i=1}^d z_i^2$, for (5.6.a) $S_3 = \sum_{i=1}^d z_i^3$, for (5.7.a) $S_4 = \sum_{i=1}^d z_i^4$.

Since we have: $S_2 = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d y_i^2$, $S_3 = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^d x_i y_i^2$, $S_4 = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^4 - 6 \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 y_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d y_i^4$, hence we obtain bounds for S_2, S_3, S_4 , and thanks to Newton's formulae, $B_2 = \frac{-S_2}{2}$, $B_3 = \frac{S_3}{3}$, $B_4 = \frac{S_2^2}{8} - \frac{S_4}{4}$.

We get the bounds from the following inequalities:

$$-2 \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \right)^2 \leq S_2 \leq \sigma_2,$$

$$|S_3| \leq \sigma_3 + 3\sigma_1 \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \right)^2,$$

$$-4\sigma_2 \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \right)^2 \leq S_4 \leq \sigma_4 + d \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \right)^4,$$

which are obtained by simple considerations on the sign of values x_i . □

5.4. Corollary 3. *If P is totally real, we have:*

$$(5.5.b) \quad \frac{-\sigma_2}{2} \leq B_2 \leq 0,$$

$$(5.6.b) \quad |B_3| \leq \frac{\sigma_3}{3},$$

$$(5.7.b) \quad \frac{-\sigma_4}{4} \leq B_4 \leq \frac{\sigma_2^2}{8}.$$

Remark. If P is totally positive (i.e. all its roots are real and positive), we have:

$$(5.6.c) \quad 0 \leq B_3 \leq \frac{\sigma_3}{3}$$

instead of (5.6.a) because B_3 cannot be negative.

5.5. Remark. We can find formulae for bounds of $B_{k'}(h), B_{k''}(h)$; this is a way to verify the bounds of σ_3 found thanks to an algorithm with the formulae of (5.3).

1) For $B_{k'}(h)$:

We can simplify $B_{k'}(h)$, so that:

$$B_{k'}(h) = \left| \frac{k'(\alpha+h)^3 d(d-2k')}{(d-k')^2} \right| \text{ and we get } \max_{k' \in K} k'(d-k')(d-2k') \text{ for } k' = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}d}{6}; \text{ hence: } \max_{h \in I} \max_{k' \in K} B_{k'}(h) = \max_{k' \in K} \frac{8\alpha^3 k'(d-k')(d-2k')}{d^2} \leq \frac{4}{27} d\delta^3.$$

2) For $B_{k''}(h)$:

We can simplify $B_{k''}(h)$, so that:

$$B_{k''}(h) = \left| \frac{k''(-\alpha+h)^3 d(d-2k'')}{(d-k'')^2} \right|.$$

We have two cases:

1) first case: $k'' \in K = [d/2, d-1], h \in J = [\alpha(\frac{2k''}{d} - 1), \alpha]$,

$$\max_{h \in J} \max_{k'' \in K} B_{k''}(h) = \max_{k'' \in K} \frac{8\alpha^3 (d-k'')k''(2k''-d)}{d^2}.$$

We get $\max_{k'' \in K} (d-k'')k''(2k''-d)$ for $k'' = \frac{d}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}d}{6}$;

hence: $\max_{h \in J} \max_{k'' \in K} B_{k''}(h) \leq \frac{4}{27} d\delta^3$.

2) second case: $k'' \in K' = [1, d/2], h \in J' = [0, \alpha]$,

$$\max_{h \in J'} \max_{k'' \in K'} B_{k''}(h) = \max_{k'' \in K'} \frac{\alpha^3 k'' d(d-2k'')}{(d-k'')^2}.$$

We get $\max_{k'' \in K'} \frac{k''(d-2k'')}{(d-k'')^2}$ for $k'' = \frac{d}{3}$;

hence: $\max_{h \in J'} \max_{k'' \in K'} B_{k''}(h) \leq \frac{d}{12\sqrt{3}} \delta^3$.

Thanks to case 1 and 2: $\max_{h \in I} \max_{k'' \in [1, d-1]} B_{k''}(h) \leq \frac{4}{27} d\delta^3$.

6. PLAN OF THE ALGORITHM

Let $P_k = \frac{(n-k)!}{n!} P^{(k)}$. P_k is a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}]$ which has a set of roots with diameters smaller than δ by the theorem of Gauss-Lucas. Now P_k depends only on b_1, \dots, b_k , which allows us to use a recursive algorithm. This method has already been used by Robinson for the search of polynomials with all real roots and a small diameter. We will test from $k = 2$ to $k = n$ the polynomials P_k which have their diameter less than δ . Hence we have a tree search which allows us to considerably limit the number of polynomials to study, compared to an iterative search on the set of values permitted by the bounds obtained in section 4. Of course, we must also use Parseval's formula. We need not compute the whole set of roots; we can stop the computation of roots of the polynomial P_k if the imaginary part of a root is greater than $\frac{\delta}{2}$ or if we find two roots x_i and x_j of P_k such that $|x_i - x_j| \geq \delta$.

At the beginning, we compute the bounds of B_k , that is to say: $-Bsup_k$ and $Bsup_k$ and also $h_{r,k}$ bounding $r^{2k} + \sum_{i=1}^k D_i^2 r^{2(k-i)}$ (for a few judicious values of r) thanks to the formula given in section 4. Here D_i is the coefficient of x^{k-i} of P_k translated to the origin. We compute also the values $binf_1 = \lceil \frac{-n}{2} \rceil$ and $bsup_1 = 0$ bounding b_1 .

We construct a procedure $test_k$ which computes the diameter of the roots of P_k , checks whether it is smaller than δ and which also verifies the Parseval constraint.

step 1: we iterate the possible values of b_1

step 2: we iterate the possible values of b_2 , modulo the change of variables of section 4. If $test_2$ is satisfied, we go to step 3, else we go to the next value of b_2 or we come back to step 1 at the end of the iteration.

step k : we iterate the possible values of b_k , modulo the change of variables of section 4. If $test_k$ is satisfied and $k < n$ we go to step $k + 1$, if $test_k$ is satisfied and $k = n$ we give the result, else we go to the next value of b_k or we come back to step $k - 1$ at the end of the iteration.

7. TABLE OF THE BOUNDS FOR COEFFICIENTS

- 1) The bounds of B_2, B_3, B_4 are obtained with the formulae of section 5.
- 2) The bounds of B_5, B_6, B_7, B_8, B_9 are obtained with formula (4.3) since the barycenter is supposed to be at the origin. The derivative of $r \rightarrow \frac{(r^2 + \delta^2/3)^{d/2}}{r^i}, 1 \leq i \leq d - 1$, is easy to compute. The minimum of $r \rightarrow \frac{(r^2 + \delta^2/3)^{d/2}}{r^i}$ is obtained for $r = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{3}} \times \sqrt{\frac{i}{(d-i)}}$. For $i = 0$ it is obtained with $r = 0$.

3) Using the formulae of section 5 instead of section 4, we considerably shorten the time of computation (it divides by 2 or 3).

Bounds for B_2, B_3, B_4, B_5 as a function of the degree if the diameter is 2:

degree \ coef	B2		B3		B4		B5	
3	-1.78	1	-2.46	2.46	none		none	
4	-2.67	2	-3.85	3.85	-3.34	8.91	none	
5	-3.2	2	-4.76	4.76	-4.21	11.52	-2.06	2.06
6	-4	3	-5.76	5.76	-4.96	16	-7.94	7.94
7	-4.58	3	-6.48	6.48	-5.69	19.62	-16.67	16.67
8	-5.34	4	-7.70	7.70	-6.67	24.91	-28.95	28.95
9	-5.93	4	-8.69	8.69	-7.58	29.45	-45.28	45.28

Bounds for B_6, B_7, B_8, B_9 as a function of the degree if the diameter is 2:

degree \ coef	B6		B7		B8		B9	
6	-2.38	2.38	none		none		none	
7	-15.9	15.9	-2.74	2.74	none		none	
8	-22.48	22.48	-12.36	12.36	-3.17	3.17	none	
9	-41.61	41.61	-29.72	29.72	-15.20	15.20	-3.65	3.65

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Langevin, E. Reyssat, and G. Rhin: Diamètres transfinis et problème de Favard (Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble, **38**, 1, (1988), 1-16). MR **90b**:11103
- [2] M. Langevin: Solutions des problèmes de Favard (Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble, **38**, 2, (1988), 1-10). MR **90b**:11104
- [3] J. Favard: Sur les nombres algébriques (C.R.Acad.Sc. Paris, **186**, 1928, 1181-1182).
- [4] R.M. Robinson: Algebraic equations with span less than 4 (Math. Comp 18 (1964), 547-559). MR **29**:6624
- [5] C. W. Lloyd-Smith: PhD Thesis Adelaide 1980, Problems on the distribution of conjugates of algebraic numbers.

UFR MIM, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, URA CNRS 399, UNIVERSITÉ DE METZ, ILE DU SAULCY, 57045 METZ CEDEX 01, FRANCE

E-mail address: `Grandcol@poncelet.univ-metz.fr`